

PROJECTIVITY OF ANALYTIC HILBERT AND KAEHLER QUOTIENTS

DANIEL GREB

ABSTRACT. We investigate algebraicity properties of quotients of complex spaces by complex reductive Lie groups G . We obtain a projectivity result for compact momentum map quotients of algebraic G -varieties. Furthermore, we prove equivariant versions of Kodaira's Embedding Theorem and Chow's Theorem relative to an analytic Hilbert quotient. Combining these results we derive an equivariant algebraisation theorem for complex spaces with projective quotient.

INTRODUCTION

Symplectic reduction and holomorphic invariant theory have been very successfully applied to construct and study quotient spaces for actions of reductive groups on complex spaces. Given a complex reductive Lie group G , a holomorphic G -space X , and an equivariant momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(K)^*$ for the action of a maximal compact subgroup K of G with respect to a K -invariant Kähler structure on X , the fundamental link between symplectic and complex geometry is given by the concept of semistability: generalising fundamental work of Kirwan [Kir84], it was shown by Heinzner and Loose [HL94] that the set of μ -semistable points

$$X(\mu) := \{x \in X \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset\}$$

admits an *analytic Hilbert quotient*, i.e., a G -invariant holomorphic Stein map $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu)//G$ onto a complex space $X(\mu)//G$ with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X(\mu)//G}^{\mathrm{hol}} = (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mu)}^{\mathrm{hol}})^G$, see also [GS82] and [Sja95]. If the complex space X is projective algebraic and the Kähler structure under consideration is the Fubini-Study form, the quotient $X(\mu)//G$ is projective algebraic and can also be constructed via Mumford's Geometric Invariant Theory, cf. [MFK94].

Motivated by this observation, in the first part of this note we study *algebraic Hamiltonian G -varieties*, complex algebraic G -varieties with K -invariant Kähler structures and K -equivariant momentum maps $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(K)^*$. We investigate the question whether the momentum map quotient $X(\mu)//G$ of an algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety X inherits algebraicity properties from X . As the main result of this part, we prove

Theorem 1 (Projectivity Theorem). *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and K a maximal compact subgroup of G . Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(K)^*$. Assume that X has only 1-rational singularities. Then, if $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact, the analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu)//G$ is a projective algebraic variety.*

This generalises earlier results by Sjamaar [Sja95] who proved projectivity of $X(\mu)//G$ under the additional assumptions that X is smooth and that the Kähler structure is the curvature form of a positive G -linearised line bundle, and by Heinzner and Migliorini [HM01] who dealt with the case of arbitrary Kähler forms on projective manifolds. We emphasise at this point that our result neither assumes the Kähler form to be integral nor requires the G -variety to be smooth or complete.

Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 53D20; Secondary: 14L30, 14L24, 32M05, 53C55.

Keywords: quotients by reductive group actions, momentum maps, projective embeddings, Kaehler quotients, GIT.

In contrast to the GIT-situation, there exist examples of Hamiltonian G -spaces such that the set of μ -semistable points is not analytically Zariski-open, see Section 6. Using Theorem 1 and the Chow quotient of an algebraic G -variety, we show that this phenomenon cannot occur in our setup:

Theorem 2. *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group with maximal compact subgroup K . Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie}(K)^*$. Assume that X has 1-rational singularities and that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact. Then, the set $X(\mu)$ of μ -semistable points is algebraically Zariski-open in X .*

Complex reductive Lie groups and their representations are classically known to have strong algebraicity properties. As a consequence, actions of these groups on complex spaces can often be studied by methods that are closely related to classical invariant theory. For example, it was shown by Snow [Sno82] that each fibre of an analytic Hilbert quotient carries a natural affine algebraic structure given by the algebra of K -finite holomorphic functions. With respect to this algebraic structure the action of G is also algebraic. In the second part of this paper we use representation theory relative to an analytic Hilbert quotient, separation properties of K -finite holomorphic functions and classical vanishing theorems to prove the following generalisation of Snow's result.

Theorem 3 (Algebraicity Theorem). *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group. Let X be a holomorphic G -space such that the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists and such that $X//G$ is projective algebraic. Then, up to G -equivariant algebraic isomorphisms, there exists a uniquely determined quasi-projective algebraic G -variety Z with algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_Z : Z \rightarrow Z//G$ such that X is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to Z .*

Here, an *algebraic Hilbert quotient* of a complex algebraic G -variety Z is a G -invariant, affine morphism $\pi : Z \rightarrow Z//G$ onto an algebraic variety $Z//G$ with $\mathcal{O}_{Z//G} = (\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Z)^G$. We derive Theorem 3 from analogues of Kodaira's Embedding Theorem and Chow's Theorem in our setup (Theorem 8.6 and Theorem 9.2).

In summary, the results proven in this note establish a close connection between algebraicity properties of complex G -spaces and those of associated analytic Hilbert quotients. Furthermore, they underline the relevance of classical invariant theoretic methods in the study of group actions of complex reductive groups on complex spaces.

Outline of the paper. In Sections 1 and 2 we recall definitions related to and basic properties of actions of complex reductive Lie groups. The proof of Theorem 1 uses a result of Namikawa [Nam02] on the projectivity of Kähler Moishezon spaces with 1-rational singularities (Theorem 5.1). In line with the assumptions made in Namikawa's theorem, the content of the subsequent sections is as follows: in Section 3, we prove that the quotient $X(\mu)//G$ is Moishezon, in Section 4 that it has only 1-rational singularities, using a refinement of Boutot's result [Bou87] on the rationality of quotient singularities. The proof of Theorem 1 is then given in Section 5. After a short discussion of basic properties of Chow quotients, Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2. In Section 7, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are generalised to non-zero levels of the momentum map. From Section 8 on we work in the setup of Theorem 3 and prove the analogues of Kodaira's Embedding Theorem and of Chow's Theorem. As a consequence of these results, we obtain Theorem 3 in Section 9. In the final section, we discuss the question whether momentum map quotients of algebraic Hamiltonian G -varieties can be obtained as GIT-quotients, indicating open questions and directions for further study.

Acknowledgements. The results presented in this note are contained in the author's dissertation [Gre08]. He wants to thank his supervisor Prof. Dr. Peter Heinzner for inspiring discussions and mathematical advice. Furthermore, the author wants to thank the referee for helpful comments and

remarks. The author was supported by the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes and by SFB/TR 12 "Symmetries and Universality of Mesoscopic Systems" of the DFG.

1. QUOTIENTS WITH RESPECT TO ACTIONS OF COMPLEX REDUCTIVE GROUPS

1.1. Complex-reductive Lie groups and their representations. Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G . Then, the inclusion $\iota : K \hookrightarrow G$ is the universal complexification of K in the sense of [Hoc65]. In particular, every continuous group homomorphism from K into a complex Lie group extends to G . Conversely, every compact Lie group has a universal complexification $\iota : K \hookrightarrow K^{\mathbb{C}}$, $K^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex reductive Lie group, and $\iota(K)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $K^{\mathbb{C}}$. Every complex reductive Lie group carries the unique structure of a linear-algebraic group.

A *representation* of a Lie group G will always mean a finite-dimensional complex continuous representation of G . If $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is a representation of G , then V together with the action of G on V induced by ρ is called a G -*module*. Given a linear algebraic group G , a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is called *rational* if ρ is a regular map between the linear algebraic groups G and $GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. Any representation of a compact Lie group K extends to a rational representation of $K^{\mathbb{C}}$.

1.2. Complex spaces and algebraic varieties. In the following a *complex space* refers to a reduced complex space with countable topology. For a given complex space X the structure sheaf will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_X$. Analytic subsets are assumed to be closed. By an *algebraic variety* we mean an algebraic variety defined over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. The structure sheaf of an algebraic variety X will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_X$. Given an algebraic variety X , there exists a complex space canonically associated to X , see [Ser56]. If it is necessary to distinguish between X and its associated complex space, we will denote the latter by X^h . Any regular map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties is holomorphic with respect to the holomorphic structures on X and Y . Again, if it is necessary to distinguish between algebraic and holomorphic structures, we will write $\phi^h : X^h \rightarrow Y^h$ for the induced map of complex spaces.

1.3. Actions of Lie groups and analytic Hilbert quotients. If G is a real Lie group, then a *complex G -space* Z is a complex space with a real-analytic action $\alpha : G \times Z \rightarrow Z$ such that all the maps $\alpha_g : Z \rightarrow Z$, $z \mapsto \alpha(g, z) = g \cdot z$ are holomorphic. If G is a complex Lie group, a *holomorphic G -space* Z is a complex G -space such that the action map $\alpha : G \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is holomorphic. A *complex G -manifold* is a complex G -space without singular points. In general, the set of singular points of a complex G -space X is a G -invariant analytic subset of X .

Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and X a holomorphic G -space. A complex space Y together with a G -invariant surjective holomorphic map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an *analytic Hilbert quotient* of X by the action of G if

- (1) π is a locally Stein map, and
- (2) $(\pi_* \mathcal{H}_X)^G = \mathcal{H}_Y$ holds.

Here, *locally Stein* means that there exists an open covering of Y by open Stein subspaces U_α such that $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is a Stein subspace of X for all α ; by $(\pi_* \mathcal{H}_X)^G$ we denote the sheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{H}_X(\pi^{-1}(U))^G = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_X(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid f \text{ } G\text{-invariant}\}$, U open in Y .

An analytic Hilbert quotient of a holomorphic G -space X is unique up to biholomorphism once it exists and we will denote it by $X//G$. It has the following properties (see [HMP98]):

- (1) Given a G -invariant holomorphic map $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ into a complex space Z , there exists a unique holomorphic map $\bar{\phi} : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $\phi = \bar{\phi} \circ \pi$.

- (2) For every Stein subspace A of $X//G$ the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is a Stein subspace of X .
- (3) If A_1 and A_2 are G -invariant analytic subsets of X , then $\pi(A_1) \cap \pi(A_2) = \pi(A_1 \cap A_2)$.
- (4) For a G -invariant analytic subset A of X , the image $\pi(A)$ in $Y = X//G$ is an analytic subset of $X//G$ and the restriction $\pi|_A : A \rightarrow \pi(A)$ is the analytic Hilbert quotient for the action of G on A .

It follows that two points $x, x' \in X$ have the same image in $X//G$ if and only if $\overline{G \cdot x} \cap \overline{G \cdot x'} \neq \emptyset$. For each $q \in X//G$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(q)$ contains a unique closed G -orbit $G \cdot x$. The stabiliser G_x of x in G is a complex reductive Lie group.

If X is a Stein space, then the analytic Hilbert quotient exists and has the properties listed above (see [Hei91] and [Sno82]).

1.4. Algebraic Hilbert quotients. Let G be a complex reductive group endowed with its natural linear-algebraic structure. An *algebraic G -variety* is an algebraic variety X together with an action of G on X such that the action map $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is regular. Basic examples of algebraic G -varieties are representation spaces V of rational G -representations $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ of G .

An algebraic variety Y together with a G -invariant surjective regular map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an *algebraic Hilbert quotient* of X by the action of G if

- (1) π is affine, and
- (2) $(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)^G = \mathcal{O}_Y$ holds.

For a G -module or, more general, an affine algebraic G -variety V , the algebra $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ of G -invariant polynomials is finitely generated and the map $V \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[V]^G)$ is an algebraic Hilbert quotient.

If X is an algebraic G -variety, the associated complex space X^h is in a natural way a holomorphic G -space. If the algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists, the associated holomorphic map $\pi^h : X^h \rightarrow (X//G)^h$ is an analytic Hilbert quotient for the action of G on X^h , cf. [Lun76].

2. MOMENTUM MAP QUOTIENTS

Analytic Hilbert quotients arise naturally in the study of Hamiltonian actions on Kählerian complex spaces. We recall the necessary definitions.

2.1. Kähler structures. A *Kähler structure* on a complex space X is given by an open cover (U_j) of X and a family of strictly plurisubharmonic functions $\rho_j : U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the differences $\rho_j - \rho_k$ are pluriharmonic on $U_j \cap U_k$. Here *strictly plurisubharmonic* means strictly plurisubharmonic with respect to perturbations cf. [HHL94]). A *pluriharmonic function* is a function which is locally the real part of a holomorphic function. A Kähler structure $\omega = \{\rho_j\}$ is called *smooth* if all the ρ_j 's can be chosen as smooth functions. For smooth X , a smooth Kähler structure is the same as a smooth Kähler form which is given locally by $\omega = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \rho_j$. In this case we will not distinguish between $\{\rho_j\}$ as defined above and the associated Kähler form.

2.2. Momentum maps and analytic Hilbert quotients. Let K be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$. Let X be a complex K -space endowed with a smooth K -invariant Kähler structure $\omega = \{\rho_j\}_j$. A *momentum map* with respect to ω is a smooth map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ which is K -equivariant with respect to the coadjoint representation of K on $\mathfrak{k}^*$ such that for every K -stable complex submanifold Y of X and for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$, we have

$$d\mu^\xi = \iota_{\xi_X} \omega_Y.$$

Here, ι_{ξ_X} denotes contraction with the vector field ξ_X on X that is induced by the K -action, ω_Y denotes the Kähler form induced on Y , and the function $\mu^\xi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\mu^\xi(x) = \mu(x)(\xi)$. We call the action of K on a complex K -space with K -invariant Kähler structure ω *Hamiltonian* if the K -action admits a momentum map with respect to ω .

Let K denote a compact Lie group and G its complexification. Let X be a holomorphic G -space with K -invariant Kähler structure ω . We call X a *Hamiltonian G -space*, if the K -action is Hamiltonian with respect to ω . Given a Hamiltonian G -space with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, set

$$X(\mu) := \{x \in X \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We call $X(\mu)$ the set of *semistable points* with respect to μ and the G -action. In general, if X is a holomorphic G -space and A is a subset of X , then we set $\mathcal{S}_G(A) := \{x \in X \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. With this definition, we have $X(\mu) = \mathcal{S}_G(\mu^{-1}(0))$. We collect the main results about Hamiltonian G -spaces in

Theorem 2.1 ([HL94], [HHL94]). *Let X be a Hamiltonian G -space. Then,*

- (1) $X(\mu)$ is open and G -invariant, and the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ exists,
- (2) the inclusion $\mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow X(\mu)$ induces a homeomorphism $\mu^{-1}(0) / K \simeq X(\mu) // G$,
- (3) the complex space $X(\mu) // G$ carries a Kähler structure that is smooth along a natural stratification of $X(\mu) // G$.

An *algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety* is an algebraic G -variety X such that the associated holomorphic G -space X^h is a Hamiltonian G -space. In particular, X^h is assumed to carry a K -invariant Kähler structure.

Example 2.2. Let K be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ and let $G = K^\mathbb{C}$ be its complexification. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow GL_\mathbb{C}(V)$ be a representation of G such that the action of K leaves a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V invariant. Endow $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with the Fubini-Study form induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let X be a G -stable subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Then, X^h is Kähler with K -invariant Kähler structure ω given by the restriction of the Fubini-Study form to X . In fact, X^h is a Hamiltonian G -space and a momentum map with respect to ω is given by

$$\mu^\xi([v]) = \frac{2i\langle \rho_*(\xi)v, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{k}, \forall [v] \in X.$$

Here, $\rho_* : \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \text{End}_\mathbb{C}(V)$ is the Lie algebra homomorphism induced by ρ . The set $X^h(\mu)$ of semistable points coincides with $X(V) = \{[v] \in X \mid \exists \text{ non-constant } f \in \mathbb{C}[V]^G : f(v) \neq 0\}$ and is therefore Zariski-open in X . It follows that the analytic Hilbert quotient $X^h(\mu) // G$ is the complex space associated to the projective algebraic variety $X(V) // G := \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[\text{Cone}(X)]^G)$; cf. [Kir84].

3. FUNCTION FIELDS OF MOMENTUM MAP QUOTIENTS

3.1. Meromorphic functions and meromorphic graphs. We denote the sheaf of germs of *meromorphic functions* on a complex space X by $\mathcal{M}_X$. If X is irreducible, $\mathcal{M}_X(X) = H^0(X, \mathcal{M}_X)$ is a field, called the *function field* of X . Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ be a meromorphic function on X . The *sheaf of denominators* of f is the sheaf $\mathcal{D}(f)$ with stalks $\mathcal{D}(f)_x = \{v_x \in \mathcal{H}_x : v_x f_x \in \mathcal{H}_x\}$. We define the *polar set* of f to be the zero set of this sheaf and denote it by P_f . It is a nowhere dense analytic subset of X . The polar set P_f is the smallest subset of X such that f is holomorphic on $X \setminus P_f$. We set $\text{dom}(f) := X \setminus P_f$ and call it the *domain of definition* of f .

For $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ we define $\Gamma_f^0 := \{(x, [f(x) : 1]) \mid x \in \text{dom}(f)\} \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{C})$. The point set closure of Γ_f^0 in $X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ is called the *graph* of f . We denote it by Γ_f .

An analytic set $\Gamma \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ together with the canonical projection $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ is called a *holomorphic graph at $p \in X$* if there exists an open neighbourhood U of p such that

- (1) $\sigma|_{\sigma^{-1}(U)} : \sigma^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is biholomorphic,
- (2) $\sigma^{-1}(U) \cap (U \times \{[1 : 0]\}) = \emptyset$.

Clearly, the graph of a holomorphic function is a holomorphic graph. An analytic subset $\Gamma \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ with the canonical projection $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ is called a *meromorphic graph over X* if there exists an analytic subset $A \subset X$ such that A and $\sigma^{-1}(A)$ are nowhere dense and Γ is a holomorphic graph outside A .

Proposition 3.1 ([Fis75]). *The graph Γ_f of a meromorphic function on a complex space is a meromorphic graph. Conversely, let $\Gamma \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ be a meromorphic graph over X . Then, there exists a uniquely determined meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_f$.*

If X is a compact irreducible complex space, it is a classical result that the transcendence degree $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ is less than or equal to the dimension of X , see e.g. [GR84]. If $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_X(X) = \dim X$, we call X *Moishezon*.

Let X be a G -irreducible complex G -space. Consider the graph $\Gamma_f \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ of a meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$. The group G acts on $X \times \mathbb{P}_1$ by the G -action on the first factor. Given $g \in G$, we define a new meromorphic graph $\Gamma_{g \cdot f} := g \cdot \Gamma_f \subset X \times \mathbb{P}_1$. This defines a meromorphic function $g \cdot f$ on X by Proposition 3.1. In this way we obtain a group action on $\mathcal{M}_X(X)$ by algebra automorphisms. A meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ is G -invariant if and only if its graph Γ_f is a G -invariant subset of $X \times \mathbb{P}_1$. If X is an algebraic G -variety, then G acts naturally on the algebra $\mathbb{C}(X)$ of rational functions such that the inclusion $\mathbb{C}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}_{X^h}(X^h)$ is G -equivariant.

3.2. Meromorphic functions on momentum map quotients. Let K be a compact Lie group and let $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $Q = X(\mu) // G$ denote the analytic Hilbert quotient of the set of semistable points with respect to μ . The G -irreducibility of X implies that $X(\mu)$ is either empty or G -irreducible and dense in X (see [HH96]). It follows that Q is irreducible.

We will use invariant rational functions on X to produce meromorphic functions on Q . It should be noted that not every invariant rational function is obtained by pullback from the quotient, as the following example shows.

Example 3.2. Consider the action of $G := \mathbb{C}^* = (S^1)^{\mathbb{C}}$ on $X := \mathbb{C}^2$ by scalar multiplication. The action of S^1 is Hamiltonian with respect to the standard Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and, after identification of $\text{Lie}(S^1)^*$ with $\mathbb{R}$, a momentum map is given by $v \mapsto |v|^2$. We have $\mu^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and $X(\mu) = \mathbb{C}^2$. The analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu) // G$ is a point. The rational function $f(z, w) = \frac{z}{w}$ on X is $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant. However, it is not the pullback of a rational function from $X(\mu) // G = \{\text{pt}\}$ via the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$.

If X is a G -irreducible algebraic G -variety of an algebraic group G , let $m := \max_{x \in X} \{\dim G \cdot x\}$. Then, $X_{\text{reg}} := \{x \in X \mid \dim G \cdot x = m\}$ is a G -invariant Zariski-open subset of X .

Lemma 3.3. *If $\mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a G -irreducible subvariety Y of X such that $Y(\mu) // G \cong Q$ and $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu|_Y^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $\dim X$. If $\dim X = 0$, there is nothing to prove. In the general case, if $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, we are done. So we can assume that $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Consider $Y := X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$. We have $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset Y$ and therefore $\pi(Y(\mu)) = \pi(\mu^{-1}(0)) = Q$. Let $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Y_j$ be the decomposition of Y into G -irreducible components. Then, we have $Y(\mu) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Y(\mu) \cap Y_j = \bigcup_{j=1}^m Y_j(\mu)$ and $Q =$

$\bigcup_{j=1}^m \pi(Y_j(\mu))$. Since Q is irreducible and each $\pi(Y_j(\mu))$ is analytic in Q , there exists a $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\pi(Y_{j_0}(\mu)) = Q$. It follows that $Y_{j_0}(\mu) // G \cong Q$. Since $\dim Y_{j_0} < \dim X$, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Then, the set $\pi(X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0))$ is an analytically Zariski-open dense subset of Q . We set $X^s := \pi^{-1}(\pi(X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)))$. Then, X^s is analytically Zariski-open and dense in $X(\mu)$, we have $X^s = G \cdot \mu^{-1}(0)$, and the restriction $\pi|_{X^s} : X^s \rightarrow \pi(X^s) \subset Q$ is a geometric quotient for the G -action on X^s , i.e., the fibres of $\pi|_{X^s}$ are orbits of the G -action.*

Proof. Let $Y := X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$. The intersection of Y with $X(\mu)$ is analytic in $X(\mu)$ and G -invariant. Since $\pi(Y \cap \mu^{-1}(0)) = \pi(Y \cap X(\mu))$, the latter being the image of a G -invariant analytic set in $X(\mu)$, $\pi(X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0))$ is analytically Zariski-open and dense in Q . It follows that X^s is analytically Zariski-open and dense in $X(\mu)$. Let $x \in X^s$. Then, the closure of $G \cdot x$ in $X(\mu)$ contains a unique closed G -orbit, say $G \cdot y \subset \overline{G \cdot x}$, for some $y \in X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$. Furthermore, since the action of G on X is algebraic, $G \cdot x$ is Zariski-open in $\overline{G \cdot x}$. Since $y \in X_{\text{reg}}$, this implies that $G \cdot x = G \cdot y$. As a consequence, $G \cdot x$ is closed in $X(\mu)$. $\square$

The map $\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_1} : X(\mu) \times \mathbb{P}_1 \rightarrow Q \times \mathbb{P}_1$ is an analytic Hilbert quotient for the action of G on $X(\mu) \times \mathbb{P}_1$ that is induced by the action of G on the first factor. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X(\mu))^G$ be a G -invariant meromorphic function on X and let $\Gamma_f \subset X(\mu) \times \mathbb{P}_1$ be its graph. The image $\hat{\Gamma} := \pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(\Gamma_f)$ is an analytic subset of $Q \times \mathbb{P}_1$. We set $\Phi = (\pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_1})|_{\Gamma_f} : \Gamma_f \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma} \cong \Gamma_f // G$.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X(\mu))^G$. Then, the analytic set $\hat{\Gamma} \subset Q \times \mathbb{P}_1$ is a meromorphic graph and defines a meromorphic function $\hat{f}$ on Q with $f = \pi^*(\hat{f})$.*

Proof. We summarise our setup in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X(\mu) & & \\
 & & \uparrow \tilde{\text{pr}}_1 & & \\
 X(\mu) \times \mathbb{P}_1 & \longleftarrow & \Gamma_f & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_1 \\
 \downarrow \pi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{P}_1} & & \downarrow \Phi & & \\
 Q \times \mathbb{P}_1 & \longleftarrow & \hat{\Gamma} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_1 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 & & \\
 & & Q & &
 \end{array}
 \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \pi \end{array}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_X(X(\mu))^G$, the polar set P_f of f is G -invariant. Let $V := X^s \setminus P_f$. Since X^s is Zariski-open and dense in $X(\mu)$ and since P_f is a nowhere dense analytic subset of $X(\mu)$, V is analytically Zariski-open and dense in $X(\mu)$. Since $\pi|_{X^s} : X^s \rightarrow \pi(X^s)$ is a geometric quotient, and since P_f is G -invariant, V is π -saturated. Thus, $\pi(V)$ is analytically Zariski-open and dense in Q . The subset $\pi(V) \subset Q$ is isomorphic to $V // G$ and we have $f \in \mathcal{H}_X(V)^G \cong \mathcal{H}_Q(\pi(V))$. Therefore, there exists a unique holomorphic function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}_Q(\pi(V))$ such that $f = \hat{f} \circ \pi$. We have $\Gamma_{\hat{f}} = \hat{\Gamma}|_{\pi(V)} \subset \pi(V) \times \mathbb{C}$. It follows that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a holomorphic graph over $\pi(V)$.

The set $A := X(\mu) \setminus V$ is π -saturated and analytic in $X(\mu)$. Hence, $\pi(A) = Q \setminus \pi(V)$ is a nowhere dense analytic subset of Q . Since Γ_f is a meromorphic graph over $X(\mu)$ and since A is nowhere dense in $X(\mu)$, $\tilde{\text{pr}}_1^{-1}(A) = \Phi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1^{-1}(\pi(A)))$ is nowhere dense in Γ_f . It follows that $\text{pr}_1^{-1}(\pi(A))$ is nowhere dense in $\hat{\Gamma}$.

We have hereby shown that $\widehat{\Gamma}$ is a meromorphic graph in $Q \times \mathbb{P}^1$. By Proposition 3.1, it defines a meromorphic function $\widehat{f}$ on Q . It follows from the construction that $f = \pi^*(\widehat{f})$ holds. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *Assume $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\dim Q = \dim X - m$, where $m = \max_{x \in X} \{\dim G \cdot x\}$.*

Proof. Since $X^s \subset X_{\text{reg}}$ and $\pi|_{X^s} : X^s \rightarrow \pi(X^s)$ is a geometric quotient, we have $\dim \pi^{-1}(q) = m$ for every $q \in \pi(X^s)$. The set $\pi(X^s)$ is Zariski-open and dense in Q . It follows that $\dim Q = \dim X - m$ by the theorem on the fibre dimension of holomorphic maps (Satz 15 in [Rem57]). $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let K be a compact Lie group and $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $Q = X(\mu) // G$ denote the analytic Hilbert quotient. Then, $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_Q(Q) \geq \dim Q$. In particular, if Q is compact, then it is Moishezon.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we can assume without loss of generality that $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. By a Theorem of Rosenlicht [Ros56], we have $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(X)^G = \dim X - m$, where m is defined as in Lemma 3.6. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.5, we have defined a field homomorphism $\mathcal{M}_X(X(\mu))^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_Q(Q)$. Hence, we get the following chain of inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim Q &= \dim X - m && \text{by Lemma 3.6} \\
 &= \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}(X)^G && \text{by Rosenlicht's theorem} \\
 &\leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_X(X(\mu))^G \\
 &\leq \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_Q(Q) && \text{by Prop. 3.5.} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

4. SINGULARITIES OF MOMENTUM MAP QUOTIENTS

4.1. 1-rational singularities. A normal algebraic variety or normal complex space X is said to have *1-rational singularities*, if for every resolution $f : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of X , we have $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$ or $R^1 f_* \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$, respectively. Here, $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ denotes the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H^1(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})$, and $R^1 f_* \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is defined analogously.

As in the case of rational singularities (where one requires the vanishing of all higher direct image sheaves of a resolution) using [Hir64], §0.7, Cor. 2, it can be shown that the condition $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} = 0$ is independent of the chosen resolution. Similarly to [KM98], Corollary 5.11, one proves that an algebraic variety X has 1-rational singularities if and only if X^h has 1-rational singularities.

Remark 4.1. The class of varieties with 1-rational singularities is a strictly bigger than the class of varieties with rational singularities: the vertex of the cone over a smooth quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$ is a 1-rational singularity that is not rational.

4.2. Singularities of algebraic Hilbert quotients. In our study of singularities of momentum map quotients we use the following result about the singularities of algebraic Hilbert quotients.

Theorem 4.2 ([Gre09]). *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and let X be an algebraic G -variety such that the algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X // G$ exists. If X has 1-rational singularities, then $X // G$ has 1-rational singularities.*

Remark 4.3. The proof closely follows Boutot's proof [Bou87] that algebraic Hilbert quotients of varieties with rational singularities have rational singularities. The main point to check is that in Boutot's arguments it is possible to treat the different cohomology degrees separately.

4.3. Local linearisation by the Slice Theorem. Let K be a compact Lie group and let X be an algebraic Hamiltonian $K^\mathbb{C}$ -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Our goal is to show that if X has 1-rational singularities, then the analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu) // G$ has 1-rational singularities. This section is devoted to the reduction of this problem to the equivalent question for algebraic Hilbert quotient with the help of a slice theorem. We start with two elementary lemmata.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and V a G -module. Consider the induced action of G on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and let $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)^G$. If $L := \mathbb{C}v \subset V$ and $L^\perp$ is a G -stable complementary subspace to L in V , then $W := \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(L^\perp)$ is a G -invariant affine open neighbourhood of $[v]$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Furthermore, W is G -equivariantly isomorphic to the G -module $L^\perp \otimes L^{-1}$, where L^{-1} is the dual of the G -module L .*

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a connected complex reductive Lie group and let X be a normal algebraic G -variety. Let $x \in X$ have reductive stabiliser G_x in G . Then, there exists an affine G_x -invariant open neighbourhood of x in X .*

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Let $x \in X$ have reductive stabiliser G_x . Since G is connected and X is normal, by a result of Sumihiro [Sum74], there exists a G -invariant quasi-projective open neighbourhood U of x in X . Furthermore, there exists a G -linearised ample line bundle on U , i.e., we can assume that $X = U$ is a G -invariant locally closed subset of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is a G -module. Clearly, V is also a G_x -module and $x \in \mathbb{P}(V)^{G_x}$. Lemma 4.4 implies that there exists a G_x -invariant affine open neighbourhood W of x in $\overline{X} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$. Let $C := W \setminus X$ and let $\pi : W \rightarrow W // G_x$ denote the algebraic Hilbert quotient. Then, $\{x\}$ and C are disjoint G_x -invariant algebraic subsets of W and hence $\pi(x) \notin \pi(C) \subset W // G_x$. It follows that there exists an affine open neighbourhood V of $\pi(x)$ in $(W // G_x) \setminus \pi(C)$. Since π is an affine map, the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is a G_x -invariant affine open subset of X containing x . $\square$

Using the two previous lemmata, we now adapt the proof of the holomorphic Slice Theorem (see [Hei91], [HL94], [HS07] and [Sja95]) to our algebraic situation.

Theorem 4.6 (Slice Theorem, algebraic version). *Let K be a connected compact Lie group and $G = K^\mathbb{C}$ its complexification. Let X be a normal algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ be the analytic Hilbert quotient. Then, every point in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ has a π -saturated open neighbourhood U in $X(\mu)$ that is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to a saturated open subset of an affine G -variety.*

Here, a *saturated subset of an affine G -variety* Y is a subset of Y that is saturated with respect to the algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y // G$.

Remark 4.7. By the holomorphic Slice Theorem every point in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ has a π -saturated open neighbourhood U in $X(\mu)$ that is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to a G -invariant analytic subset A of a saturated open subset of a G -module. The crucial point of Theorem 4.6 is that in our situation we can choose A to be the intersection of an affine G -invariant subvariety with a saturated open subset of a G -module. If X is smooth, this follows directly from the holomorphic Slice Theorem, since in this case, A can be chosen to be a saturated open subset of a G -module.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we can assume that X is a G -stable locally closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, where V is a G -module. Let $x = [v] \in \mu^{-1}(0) \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ and set $H := G_x$. Since $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$, its stabiliser group H is reductive (see [Hei91], Section 4.2). Using Lemma 4.4 it follows that V is isomorphic to $L \oplus L^\perp$ as an H -module, where $L = \mathbb{C}v$, that there exists an H -invariant affine open neighbourhood $U := \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(L^\perp)$ of x in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ as well as an H -equivariant isomorphism $\psi : U \rightarrow L^\perp \otimes L^{-1}$ with $\psi(x) = 0$. Let $B := d\psi(x)(T_x(G \cdot x))$. Since B is an H -stable linear subspace of $L^\perp \otimes L^{-1}$, there exists an H -stable linear subspace N of $L^\perp \otimes L^{-1}$ such that $L^\perp \otimes L^{-1} = B \oplus N$. Then, $\overline{\psi^{-1}(N)} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is an H -invariant linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}(V)$, i.e., there exists an H -invariant

linear subspace W in V such that $\overline{\psi^{-1}(N)} = \mathbb{P}(W)$. We have $T_x(G \cdot x) \oplus T_x(\mathbb{P}(W)) = T_x(\mathbb{P}(V))$, by construction.

It follows that the map $\tilde{\varphi} : G \times_H \mathbb{P}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V), [g, y] \mapsto g \cdot y$ is locally biholomorphic at $[e, x]$. Set $S := X \cap \mathbb{P}(W)$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(X) = G \times_H S$ by construction, we see that $\varphi : G \times_H S \rightarrow X, [g, y] \mapsto g \cdot y$ is locally biholomorphic at $[e, x]$. Intersecting S with a G_x -invariant affine open neighbourhood of x in X , we may assume that S is affine. Furthermore, the restriction of φ to $G \cdot [e, x]$ is biholomorphic onto $G \cdot x$. It follows from the holomorphic version of Luna's fundamental lemma (see Lemma 14.3 and Remark 14.4 in [HS07]; also see Lemma 8.5 of this note) that there exists an open G -stable neighbourhood U' of $[e, x]$ which is mapped biholomorphically onto the open neighbourhood $\varphi(U')$ of $G \cdot x$ in X by φ .

Since $G \times_H S$ is affine, there exists an algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_S : G \times_H S \rightarrow (G \times_H S) // G$. By shrinking U' if necessary, we can assume that it is π_S -saturated. Since $X(\mu)$ is open in X , we may assume that $\varphi(U') \subset X(\mu)$. Let $C := X(\mu) \setminus \varphi(U')$. This is a closed G -invariant subset of $X(\mu)$ not containing the closed orbit $G \cdot x \subset X(\mu)$. Hence, $\pi(x) \notin \pi(C)$. Replace U' by $\tilde{U} := \varphi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(X(\mu) // G \setminus \pi(C))) \subset U'$. The set $\tilde{U}$ is π_S -saturated. It follows that $\varphi(\tilde{U})$ is a π -saturated open neighbourhood of x in $X(\mu)$ which is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to a saturated open subset of an affine G -variety. $\square$

4.4. Singularities of momentum map quotients. We are now in the position to prove

Theorem 4.8. *Let K be a connected compact Lie group and $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. Let X be an irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that X has 1-rational singularities. Then, the analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu) // G$ has 1-rational singularities.*

Remark 4.9. Theorem 4.8 follows from Boutot's result [Bou87], if we additionally assume that X is smooth. To prove it in full generality, we use the analysis done in the preceding sections.

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Let $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$. By the Slice Theorem, Theorem 4.6, there exists an open saturated neighbourhood U of x in $X(\mu)$ and a G -equivariant biholomorphic map $\varphi : U \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ to a saturated open subset $\tilde{U}$ of an affine G -variety A . The algebraic Hilbert quotient of A will be denoted by $\pi_A : A \rightarrow A // G$. Let $f : \hat{A} \rightarrow A$ be a G -equivariant resolution of A and set $B := \text{supp}(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}})$. This is a G -invariant algebraic subvariety of A , and we have $\tilde{U} \subset A \setminus B$. Since the G -orbit through $\varphi(x)$ is closed in A , we have $\pi(\varphi(x)) \notin \pi(B)$. Therefore, there exists an affine π_A -saturated open neighbourhood $\tilde{A}$ of $\varphi(x)$ in $A \setminus B$ such that $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{A}$.

Let $y \in X(\mu) // G$. Applying the considerations above to the unique closed orbit $G \cdot x$ in $\pi^{-1}(y)$, there exists a neighbourhood W of $y \in X(\mu) // G$ such that $\pi^{-1}(W)$ is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to a saturated subset $\tilde{U} \subset A$ in an affine G -variety A with 1-rational singularities. Consequently, W is biholomorphic to $(\tilde{U} // G)^h \subset (A // G)^h$, which has 1-rational singularities by Theorem 4.2. This concludes the proof. $\square$

5. PROJECTIVITY OF MOMENTUM MAP QUOTIENTS: PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In the proof of Theorem 1 given in this section, we use the following projectivity criterion to conclude that momentum map quotients of algebraic Hamiltonian G -varieties are projective:

Theorem 5.1 ([Nam02]). *Let Z be a Moishezon space with 1-rational singularities. If Z admits a smooth Kähler structure, then it is a projective algebraic variety.*

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1. First, let us additionally assume that G is connected. It follows that X is irreducible. The analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu) // G$ carries a continuous Kähler structure by Theorem 2.1. Using a theorem of Varouchas ([Var89], Theorem 1) we conclude that there exists a smooth Kähler structure on $X(\mu) // G$. Owing to Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.8, $X(\mu) // G$ is a Moishezon space with 1-rational singularities. Consequently, Theorem 5.1 applies, and $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic.

In the general case, let K_0 denote the connected component of the identity in K . Then, the complexification $(K_0)^\mathbb{C}$ of K_0 is equal to the connected component of the identity in G , which we denote by G_0 . Since the momentum map μ depends only on the infinitesimal action of K , it is also a momentum map for the K_0 -action, which we will call μ_{K_0} . We have $\mu^{-1}(0) = \mu_{K_0}^{-1}(0)$ and $X(\mu_{K_0}) = \mathcal{S}_{G_0}(\mu_{K_0}^{-1}(0)) \subset \mathcal{S}_G(\mu^{-1}(0)) = X(\mu)$. Conversely, it follows from the Exhaustion Theorem of [HH96], that $X(\mu) \subset X(\mu_{K_0})$. Hence, we have $X(\mu) = X(\mu_{K_0})$.

Since X is assumed to have 1-rational singularities, it is normal, and consequently, its irreducible components are disjoint and G_0 -stable. If $X = \bigcup_j X_j$ is the decomposition of X into irreducible components, then the decomposition of $X(\mu)$ into irreducible components is given by $X(\mu) = \bigcup_j X_j(\mu_{K_0}|_{X_j})$. Setting $X(\mu)_j := X_j(\mu_{K_0}|_{X_j})$, we see that the restriction of the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi_{G_0} : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G_0$ to $X(\mu)_j$ is the analytic Hilbert quotient for the action of G_0 on $X(\mu)_j$ and that $X(\mu) // G_0 = \bigcup_j \pi_{G_0}(X(\mu)_j) = \bigcup_j X(\mu)_j // G_0$ is the decomposition of $X(\mu) // G_0$ into irreducible components. The finite group $\Gamma := G/G_0$ of connected components of G acts on $X(\mu) // G_0$, and we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\mu) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X(\mu) // G \\ \pi_{G_0} \downarrow & \nearrow \pi_\Gamma & \\ X(\mu) // G_0 & & \end{array}$$

where π_Γ is the analytic Hilbert quotient for the action of Γ on $X(\mu) // G_0$.

Since $X(\mu) // G$ is compact by assumption, $X(\mu) // G_0$ is also compact. Let V be any of the irreducible components of $X(\mu) // G_0$. Then, $V = X_j(\mu_{K_0}|_{X_j})$ for some j , as we have seen above. By the first part of the proof (the case G connected), it follows that V is projective algebraic. Since the irreducible components of $X(\mu) // G_0$ are disjoint, the projectivity of $X(\mu) // G_0$ follows.

As $X(\mu) // G_0$ is projective, the finite group Γ acts algebraically on $X(\mu) // G_0$. It is a classical result that the algebraic Hilbert quotient Y for the action of the finite group Γ on the projective algebraic variety $X(\mu) // G_0$ exists as a projective algebraic variety. Since Y^h is the analytic Hilbert quotient for the induced Γ -action on $(X(\mu) // G_0)^h$, it is biholomorphic to $X(\mu) // G$. Hence, $X(\mu) // G$ is a projective algebraic complex space and the claim is shown. $\square$

Remarks 5.2. a) Applying Theorem 4.2 to $\pi_\Gamma : X(\mu) // G_0 \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$, we see that $X(\mu) // G$ also has 1-rational singularities.

b) The assumption that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact is necessary to obtain an algebraic structure on the analytic Hilbert quotient $X(\mu) // G$, cf. Example 5.3.

c) The assumption that X is G -irreducible is not necessary. In general, apply Theorem 1 to the G -irreducible components of X to conclude that every irreducible component of $X(\mu) // G$ is projective. Since these components are disjoint, the projectivity of $X(\mu) // G$ follows.

Example 5.3. Consider the affine algebraic variety $X := \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ endowed with the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on the first factor and with the Kähler structure $\omega = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$, where $\rho(t, z) = |t|^2|e^z - 1|^2 + |t^{-1}|^2$ is smooth, S^1 -invariant, and strictly plurisubharmonic. After identifying $\text{Lie}(S^1)^*$ with $\mathbb{R}$, the associated

momentum map for the S^1 -action on X is

$$\mu(t, z) = |t|^2 |e^z - 1|^2 - |t^{-1}|^2.$$

Note that $X(\mu) = X \setminus (\mathbb{C}^* \times 2\pi i\mathbb{Z})$ is not algebraically Zariski-open in X . We obtain $X(\mu) // \mathbb{C}^* = X(\mu) / \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus 2\pi i\mathbb{Z} =: Q$. We claim that Q is not the complex manifold associated to any algebraic variety. Aiming for a contradiction, we suppose that $Q = C^h$ for some smooth algebraic variety C . Then, C is a non-complete algebraic curve. It follows that C is affine (see [Har70], Section II.4), and that there exist a regular open embedding $\varphi : C \hookrightarrow \overline{C}$ of C into a smooth projective curve $\overline{C}$. Hence, there exist finitely many points $c_1, \dots, c_m$ in $\overline{C}$ such that $C = \overline{C} \setminus \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$. If $\overline{C}$ has genus g , it follows that the fundamental group $\pi_1(Q)$ is freely generated by $2g + m - 1$ generators, a contradiction.

Remark 5.4. Theorem 1 applies in particular if the momentum map μ under consideration is proper. Note however that the properness assumption on μ is rather strong, as the following result (see [Gre08], Section 2.6) indicates:

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$ -principal bundle over a compact complex manifold B . Then there exists a Kähler structure ω on X such that the induced S^1 -action on X is Hamiltonian with proper momentum map if and only if B is Kähler and X is topologically trivial.*

6. CHOW QUOTIENTS AND SETS OF SEMISTABLE POINTS

If X is a Hamiltonian G -space for the complex reductive group $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$, we have seen in Section 2.2 and used it throughout our study that $X(\mu)$ is an open subset of X . From the point of view of complex analytic geometry, it is natural to ask if the set of *unstable points* $X \setminus X(\mu)$ is an analytic subset of X . The following example (see [HL94]) shows that this is not true in general.

Example 6.1. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid y = 0, \Re(x) \leq 0\}$ with the standard Kähler form. Let $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ act on X by multiplication in the second variable. The S^1 -action is Hamiltonian with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \text{Lie}(S^1)^* \cong \mathbb{R}$, $(x, y) \mapsto |y|^2$. Hence, $\mu^{-1}(0) = \{(x, y) \in X \mid y = 0\}$, and the set of semistable points $X(\mu) = \{(x, y) \in X \mid \Re(x) > 0\}$ is not analytically Zariski-open in X .

In the remainder of this section we will prove Theorem 2 which asserts that the set of semistable points of an algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with compact quotient is algebraically Zariski-open.

6.1. The Chow quotient of a projective algebraic G -variety. Let X be an irreducible projective algebraic G -variety for a connected complex reductive Lie group G . For $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}_{m,d}(X)$ be the Chow variety of cycles of dimension m and degree d in X . This is a projective algebraic component of the Barlet cycle space (see [Bar75]). For a cycle $C \in \mathcal{C}_{m,d}(X)$ let $|C|$ denote the support of C . The following result provides a crucial tool for our study:

Theorem 6.2 ([Kap93]). *Let X be an irreducible projective algebraic G -variety. Then, there exist natural numbers $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, a G -invariant rational map $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{m,d}(X)$, and a non-empty G -invariant Zariski-open subset $U_0 \subset \text{dom}(\varphi)$ such that for all $u \in U_0$, we have $|\varphi(u)| = \overline{G \bullet u}$.*

We will denote the image of φ in $\mathcal{C}_{m,d}(X)$ by $\mathcal{C}_G(X)$, and we will call $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(X)$ the *Chow quotient* of X by G . For the following discussion recall that for a subset A of a G -space X , we have defined the saturation $\mathcal{S}_G(A)$ to be $\{x \in X \mid \overline{G \bullet x} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let A be a G -invariant irreducible locally Zariski-closed subset of X . Then, $\mathcal{S}_G(A) \cap U_0$ is constructible.*

Proof. We define $I_A := \{C \in \mathcal{C}_G(X) \mid |C| \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. We claim that I_A is a constructible subset of $\mathcal{C}_G(X)$. Consider the universal family $\mathcal{X} := \{(C, x) \in \mathcal{C}_G(X) \times X \mid x \in |C|\}$ over $\mathcal{C}_G(X)$. This is an algebraic subvariety of $\mathcal{C}_G(X) \times X$. Let $p_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G(X)$ and $p_X : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection maps. We have $I_A = p_{\mathcal{C}}(p_X^{-1}(A))$. Since A is locally Zariski-closed, I_A is a constructible subset of $\mathcal{C}_G(X)$. It follows that $U_0 \cap \varphi^{-1}(I_A) = \{x \in U_0 \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is constructible in U_0 . $\square$

6.2. Sets of semistable points: proof of Theorem 2. The following result is the crucial step in the proof of Theorem 2.

Proposition 6.4. *Let G be a connected complex reductive Lie group and let X be an irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Let X_{reg} be the union of generic G -orbits in X . Assume that $X_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ and that $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic. Then, $X(\mu)$ contains a non-empty Zariski-open G -invariant subset A of X consisting of G -orbits that are closed in $X(\mu)$.*

Proof. By a theorem of Rosenlicht [Ros56] there exists a non-empty Zariski-open G -invariant subset U_R of X with geometric quotient $p_R : U_R \rightarrow Q_R$ such that $\mathbb{C}(Q_R) = \mathbb{C}(X)^G$. Note that U_R intersects $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and that Q_R is birational to $X(\mu) // G$. Let $\psi = \varphi^{-1} : Q_R \dashrightarrow X(\mu) // G$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the set where ψ is an isomorphism onto its image is equal to Q_R . Hence, $\psi : Q_R \hookrightarrow X(\mu) // G$ is an open embedding. Setting $A := X(\mu) \setminus \mathcal{S}_G(U_R \cap X(\mu)) \subset U_R$, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \hookrightarrow & X(\mu) \\ p_R|_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ p_R(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi|_{p_R(A)}} & X(\mu) // G. \end{array}$$

Since $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic, $\pi(A) = \psi(p_R(A))$ is Zariski-open in $X(\mu) // G$. It follows that $A = p_R^{-1}(p_R(A))$ is Zariski-open in U_R and contained in $X(\mu)$. $\square$

Let G be a connected algebraic group and let X be an algebraic G -variety. A *Sumihiro neighbourhood* of a point $x \in X$ is a G -invariant, Zariski-open, quasi-projective neighbourhood of x in X that can be G -equivariantly embedded as a locally Zariski-closed subset of the projective space associated to some rational G -representation. In a normal irreducible algebraic G -variety, every point has a Sumihiro neighbourhood by [Sum74].

Lemma 6.5. *Let G be a connected complex reductive Lie group and let X be an irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic and that every point $x \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ has a Sumihiro neighbourhood. Then, $X(\mu)$ is either empty or contains a non-empty Zariski-open G -invariant subset of X .*

Proof. Assume that $X(\mu)$ is non-empty. There exists an irreducible G -invariant subvariety Y of X with $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset Y$ and $Y_{\text{reg}} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$, cf. Lemma 3.3. It follows that $X(\mu) // G = Y(\mu) // G$. By Proposition 6.4 there exists a non-empty Zariski-open G -invariant subset A of Y which is contained in $X(\mu)$ and such that $\pi(A)$ is an open subset of $X(\mu) // G$. Furthermore, A consists of orbits which are closed in $X(\mu)$.

Let W be a Sumihiro neighbourhood of a point $x \in A$ and let $\psi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a G -equivariant embedding of W into the projective space associated to a rational G -representation V . Let Z be the closure of $\psi(W)$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Given a subset of Z of the form U_0 as in Theorem 6.2, it follows from Lemma 6.3 that $\mathcal{S}_G^Z(\psi(A \cap W)) \cap U_0$ is constructible in U_0 . Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_G^X(A \cap W) \cap W$ contains a non-empty Zariski-open subset $\tilde{U}$ of its closure. By construction, $\pi(A \cap W)$ is open in $X(\mu) // G$, and

hence, $\pi^{-1}(\pi(A \cap W)) \cap W$ is an open subset of X that is contained in $\mathcal{S}_G(A \cap W) \cap W$. It follows that $\tilde{U}$ is Zariski-open in X , non-empty, and contained in $X(\mu)$. $\square$

Proposition 6.6. *Let G be a connected complex reductive Lie group and let X be an algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic and that every point in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ has a Sumihiro neighbourhood. Then, $X(\mu)$ is Zariski-open in X .*

Proof. We may assume that $X(\mu)$ is non-empty. Let $X = \bigcup_j X_j$ be the decomposition of X into irreducible components. Note that $X_j(\mu|_{X_j}) = X_j \cap X(\mu)$ holds for every j and that $X_j(\mu|_{X_j}) // G$ is projective algebraic. There exists a j_0 such that $X_{j_0}(\mu|_{X_{j_0}})$ is non-empty. Let V_0 be the Zariski-open subset of $X_{j_0} \cap X(\mu)$ whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 6.5. Consider $\tilde{X} := X \setminus (V_0 \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq j_0} X_j)$. Either $\tilde{X} = X \setminus X(\mu)$ or $\tilde{X}(\mu|_{\tilde{X}}) \neq \emptyset$. In the first case we are done, since $\tilde{X}$ is algebraic in X . In the second case, we note that $X \setminus X(\mu) \subset \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{X}(\mu|_{\tilde{X}})$, that $\tilde{X}(\mu|_{\tilde{X}}) // G = \pi(\tilde{X}(\mu|_{\tilde{X}}))$ is projective algebraic and that the existence of Sumihiro neighbourhoods is inherited by $\tilde{X}$. We conclude by Noetherian induction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Recall that the connected component G_0 of the identity of G is the complexification of the connected component K_0 of the identity in K . The set $\mathcal{S}_{G_0}(\mu_0^{-1}(0))$ of semistable points with respect to G_0 and the restricted momentum map for the K_0 -action, $\mu_0 = \mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K_0)^*$, coincides with $X(\mu)$, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 1. The finite group $\Gamma = G/G_0$ acts on $X(\mu) // G_0$ with quotient $X(\mu) // G$, which is projective algebraic by Theorem 1. Hence, $X(\mu) // G_0$ admits a finite map to a projective algebraic variety and is therefore itself projective-algebraic. The variety X has 1-rational singularities by assumption; in particular, it is normal. Consequently, every point in $\mu^{-1}(0)$ has a Sumihiro neighbourhood for the action of G_0 . Hence, Proposition 6.6 applies and $X(\mu)$ is Zariski-open in X . $\square$

Remark 6.7. Example 5.3 shows that the claim of Theorem 2 is no longer true if $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and $X(\mu) // G$ are non-compact.

7. NON-ZERO LEVELS OF THE MOMENTUM MAP: THE SHIFTING TRICK

In this section we consider quotients of arbitrary level sets of the momentum map and extend Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to this situation with the help of the so-called "shifting trick".

Let X be a complex Hamiltonian G -space with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$ and let $\alpha \in \mu(X) \subset \mathfrak{k}^*$. Then, the level set $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)$ is invariant under the isotropy group K_α of α in the coadjoint representation $\text{Ad}^* : K \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{k}^*)$. We will recall the classical construction that endows the topological space $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)/K_\alpha$ with a complex structure. The coadjoint orbit $Y = \text{Ad}^*(K)(\alpha)$ through α carries a K -invariant complex structure such that the negative of the Kostant-Kirillov form ω_Y on Y is Kähler. In fact, Y is biholomorphic to G/P for some parabolic subgroup P of G ; in particular, Y is a projective algebraic manifold. A momentum map for the natural action of K on Y with respect to $-\omega_Y$ is given by $-\iota_Y : Y \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*, \xi \mapsto -\xi$.

Let $Z := X \times Y$ with the product complex structure and Kähler structure $\omega_X - \omega_Y$. The momentum map for the diagonal K -action on Z is then given by $\mu_Z(x, \beta) = \mu(x) - \beta$. It follows that $\mu_Z^{-1}(0) = K \cdot (\mu^{-1}(\alpha) \times \{\alpha\})$. Let $Z(\mu_Z)$ be the corresponding set of semistable points and

$$X(\mu, \alpha) := \{x \in X \mid \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \mu^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

This is an open subset in X , cf. [HH96], Section 6. By construction, $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)/K_\alpha$ and $\mu_Z^{-1}(0)/K$ are homeomorphic. Consequently, $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)/K_\alpha$ is a Kählerian complex space by Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be an algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that X has only 1-rational singularities. Let $\alpha \in \mu(X)$ such that $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)$ is compact. Then, the complex space $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)/K_\alpha$ is projective algebraic. Furthermore, the set $X(\mu, \alpha)$ of semistable points with respect to $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)$ is algebraically Zariski-open in X .*

Proof. Since the coadjoint orbit Y is smooth, given a resolution $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of X , the product map $f \times \text{id}_Y : \tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is a resolution of Z . It then follows from the Künneth formula for coherent algebraic sheaves, see [SW59], that Z has only 1-rational singularities. Since the complex structure on $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)/K_\alpha$ is given via the homeomorphism to $\mu_Z^{-1}(0)/K \simeq Z(\mu_Z)//G$, the first claim follows from the Projectivity Theorem, Theorem 1. For the second claim, we note that the projection $p_X : Z \rightarrow X$ maps $Z(\mu_Z)$ onto $X(\mu, \alpha)$. Since p_X is regular and open, it therefore follows from Theorem 2 that $X(\mu, \alpha)$ is Zariski-open in X . $\square$

Remark 7.2. Note that Theorem 7.1 applies in particular to the quotient and the set of semistable points associated to any fibre $\mu^{-1}(\alpha)$ of a proper momentum map μ .

8. EMBEDDING G -SPACES INTO G -VECTOR BUNDLES OVER $X//G$

Let X be a holomorphic G -space for a complex reductive Lie group G . Assume that the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists and that $X//G$ is the complex space associated to a projective algebraic variety. In the subsequent sections, we study the implications of this assumption for the equivariant geometry of X and give a proof of Theorem 3.

8.1. Coherent G -sheaves and analytic Hilbert quotients. For a coherent analytic G -sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over X (see e.g. [HH99] for the definition) we denote by $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^G$ the sheaf of invariants on $X//G$, i.e., for an open set $Q \subset X//G$, we have

$$(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^G(Q) := \mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(Q))^G = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(Q)) \mid \sigma(g \cdot x) = g \cdot \sigma(x)\}.$$

It is a fundamental result of Roberts [Rob86] and Hausen-Heinzner [HH99] that $(\pi_*\mathcal{F})^G$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on $X//G$. An analogous result also holds for the G -invariant push-forward of coherent algebraic G -sheaves to algebraic Hilbert quotients. Basic examples of coherent analytic G -sheaves are provided by sheaves of sections of G -vector bundles on a holomorphic G -space X .

8.2. The basic construction. We construct sheaves of equivariant maps that will later on be used to embed a given holomorphic G -space into a G -vector bundle over $X//G$.

Let L be holomorphic line bundle on the quotient $X//G$. By GAGA [Ser56], L is an algebraic line bundle, i.e., there exists a covering $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of $X//G$ by Zariski-open subsets U_α of $X//G$ such that $L|_{U_\alpha}$ is algebraically trivial, and a collection $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}$ of regular transition functions on the intersections $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. We will denote the corresponding locally free sheaf by $\mathcal{L}$. Let V be a G -module. Consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ of rank equal to $\dim V$ that is trivial on U_α and has transition functions $g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \text{Id}_V : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. The bundle $\mathcal{V}$ is isomorphic to the vector bundle $V \otimes L$. Since $g_{\alpha\beta}(U_{\alpha\beta}) \text{Id}_V$ is contained in the center of $GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, the group actions

$$G \times (U_\alpha \times V) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times V, \quad g \cdot (z, v) = (z, g \cdot v)$$

glue to give a global algebraic action $G \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

The action of G trivially lifts to an action of G on the line bundle π^*L by bundle automorphisms; over $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ the action is given by $(x, w) \mapsto (g \cdot x, w)$. This action and the diagonal G -action on $X \times V$ yield an action of G on the vector bundle $V \otimes \pi^*L$ by bundle automorphisms making the bundle projection equivariant. More precisely, the bundle $V \otimes \pi^*L$ trivialises over $\{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}$ via an

isomorphism $\phi_\alpha : V \otimes \pi^* L \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \times V$ and on $(V \otimes \pi^* L)|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)} \cong \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \times V$ the action is given by

$$G \times (\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \times V) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \times V, \quad g \cdot (x, v) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot v).$$

It follows that the sheaf $V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}$ of germs of sections of $V \otimes \pi^* L$ is a coherent analytic G -sheaf on X . We are going to use sections of $\pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L})^G$ to construct the maps that will yield the embedding of our given holomorphic G -space X .

Lemma 8.1. *Let X be a complex G -space such that the analytic Hilbert quotient $X//G$ exists as a projective algebraic complex space. Let V be a G -module. Let L be an algebraic line bundle on $X//G$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the associated locally free sheaf. Then, an element $s \in H^0(X//G, \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L})^G)$ yields a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ into the algebraic G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = V \otimes L$ over $X//G$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{V} \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \\ & X//G & \end{array}$$

Proof. Let s be an element of $H^0(X//G, \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L})^G)$. Then, the restriction $s|_{\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)}$ yields a G -equivariant map $s_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow V$. Furthermore, the s_α 's satisfy the following compatibility condition on $\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha\beta})$: $s_\alpha(x) = g_{\alpha\beta}(\pi(x)) \cdot s_\beta(x)$. One checks that the collection of holomorphic maps defined by $\sigma_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times V, x \mapsto (\pi(x), s_\alpha(x))$ define a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. $\square$

Since the bundle projection $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow X//G$ is G -invariant and affine, Corollary 5 of [BBS97] implies that the algebraic Hilbert quotient $\mathcal{V}//G$ exists. In fact, it is a Zariski-locally trivial fibre bundle over $X//G$ with fibre $V//G$.

8.3. Extending maps from fibres to X . First we note the following immediate consequence of the classical projection formula:

Lemma 8.2 (Equivariant Projection Formula). *Let X be a holomorphic G -space such that the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists. Let V be a G -module, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the coherent analytic G -sheaf of germs of maps from X to V , and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the locally free sheaf associated to a holomorphic line bundle L on $X//G$. Then, we have $(\pi_* \mathcal{F})^G \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong (\pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}))^G$.*

From now on, we fix an ample line bundle L on $X//G$. Serre vanishing allows us to extend holomorphic maps from the fibres of the quotient map π to the whole space X after twisting with an appropriate power of L . More precisely, we have

Proposition 8.3. *Let $A = \{q_1, \dots, q_N\}$ be a finite set of points in $X//G$. Let $F := \pi^{-1}(A)$ be the corresponding collection of fibres of π . Assume that there exists an equivariant holomorphic map φ of a π -saturated open neighbourhood U of F into a G -module V that is an immersion at every point in F . Then, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(m)$ into the algebraic G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V}(m) := V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$. The map Φ coincides with φ on F and is an immersion at every point in F .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic maps from X into V . By the discussion in Section 8.1, $\mathcal{G} := \pi_*(\mathcal{F})^G$ is a coherent analytic sheaf of $\mathcal{H}_{X//G}$ -modules on $X//G$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_A$ be the ideal sheaf of the analytic set $A = \{q_1, \dots, q_N\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{X//G}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is locally free, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ induces an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow 0.$$

We note that for all $p \in X//G$, we have $(\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})_p \cong (\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G})_p$. Hence, we get $\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \cong \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G}$. Furthermore, by the equivariant projection formula (Lemma 8.2), $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^G$. Hence, from the exact sequence (1) we obtain

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \rightarrow \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Serre vanishing, there exists an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H^1(X//G, \mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $m \geq m_0$. Then, for $m \geq m_0$, the exact sequence (2) induces an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(X//G, \mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(X//G, \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^G) \xrightarrow{r} H^0(X//G, \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map r is given by restriction. The map $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ defines $\varphi_q \in H^0(X//G, \mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{m}_A^2 \mathcal{G})$, and it follows from the exact sequence (3) that there exists a $\phi \in H^0(X//G, \pi_*(V \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^G)$ such that $r(\phi) = \varphi_q$. Shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that $L|_U$ is trivial and hence that $\phi|_{\pi(U)}$ is given by a G -equivariant map from U to V . The difference $\phi|_{\pi(U)} - \varphi$ vanishes along F up to second order. Lemma 8.1 yields a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi : X \rightarrow V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$. We note that by construction, $\Phi|_U : U \rightarrow \pi(U) \times V$ coincides with $\pi \times \varphi : U \rightarrow \pi(U) \times V$ up to second order along F . $\square$

8.4. The Embedding Theorem. In this section, we will prove the first main result of this chapter: a holomorphic G -space with projective algebraic quotient $X//G$ admits a G -equivariant embedding into a G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $X//G$. This is the analogue of Kodaira's embedding theorem in our context.

Let G be a complex reductive Lie group and let X be a holomorphic G -space. Let G_x, G_y be two isotropy subgroups for the action of G on X . We define a preorder on the set of G -orbits in X as follows: we say $G \cdot x \leq G \cdot y$ if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $gG_y g^{-1} < G_x$. This preorder induces an equivalence relation on the set of G -orbits in X given as follows: $G \cdot x \sim G \cdot y$ if and only if there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $gG_y g^{-1} = G_x$. The equivalence class of an orbit $G \cdot x$ will be denoted by $\text{Type}(G \cdot x)$ or $\text{Type}(G/G_x)$. We call $\text{Type}(G/G_x)$ the *orbit type* of x . The preorder $\leq$ defined above for G -orbits induces a preorder on the set of orbit types.

Two representations $\rho_i : H_i \rightarrow GL(V_i); i = 1, 2$ of two closed complex subgroups H_1, H_2 of G will be called *G -isomorphic*, if there exists $g \in G$ and a linear isomorphism $L : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that

$$i) H_2 = gH_1 g^{-1}, \quad ii) L(\rho_1(h) \cdot v) = \rho_2(ghg^{-1}) \cdot L(v).$$

This is the case if and only if the associated G -vector bundles $G \times_{H_i} V_i$ are G -isomorphic. The equivalence class of a representation $\rho : H \rightarrow GL(V)$ under this equivalence relation as well as the associated G -isomorphism class of G -vector bundles represented by $G \times_H V$ is called the *slice type* of ρ and denoted by $\text{Type}_G(V, H)$.

A holomorphic G -space X that admits an analytic Hilbert quotient will be called of *finite orbit type*, if the set $\{\text{Type}(G \cdot x) \mid x \in X, G \cdot x \text{ is closed in } X\}$ is finite. If the set of slice types $\{\text{Type}_G(T_x X, G_x) \mid x \in X, G \cdot x \text{ is closed in } X\}$ is finite, we say that X is of *finite slice type*.

It follows from the holomorphic Slice Theorem that a holomorphic G -space with compact analytic Hilbert quotient is of finite orbit type and of finite slice type, see [Hei88].

The next result is the crucial technical part of the Embedding Theorem:

Lemma 8.4. *Let X be a holomorphic G -space with analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$. Assume that $X//G$ is projective algebraic. Then, for every d -dimensional analytic subset A of $X//G$ the following holds:*

- (1) *There exists an analytic subset $\tilde{A} \subset A$, $\dim \tilde{A} < d$, an algebraic G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $X//G$, and an equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ that is an immersion along $\pi^{-1}(A \setminus \tilde{A})$.*

- (2) *There exists an analytic subset $\check{A} \subset A$, $\dim \check{A} < d$, an algebraic G -vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ over $X//G$, and an equivariant holomorphic map $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ whose restriction to every closed G -orbit in $\pi^{-1}(A \setminus \check{A})$ is a proper embedding.*

Proof. The proof goes along the lines of the proof of the corresponding result in the Stein case (see [Hei88]). Without loss of generality, we can assume that A is pure-dimensional. Let A_i , $i \in I$ be the irreducible components of A .

(1) For every $i \in I$, choose a point $p_i \in A_i \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} A_j$. The set $D = \{p_i \mid i \in I\}$ is discrete, hence finite and analytic in A . For every $p \in D$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(p)$ contains exactly one closed orbit $G \cdot x$ and we set the *slice type* of p to be the slice type of $\rho_x : G_x \rightarrow GL(T_x X)$. The set D is a finite union of pairwise disjoint analytic sets D_α such that each D_α contains points of only one particular slice type. Hence, A is the finite union $A = \bigcup_\alpha A(\alpha)$ where $A(\alpha)$ is the union of those irreducible components of A that contain a point of D_α .

For fixed α , choose a representative $G \times_{H_\alpha} T_\alpha$ of the slice type of D_α . Since $G \times_{H_\alpha} T_\alpha$ is an affine G -variety, there exists a proper equivariant embedding of $G \times_{H_\alpha} T_\alpha$ into a complex G -module V_α . Since D_α is finite, there exists a covering $\{U_{\alpha s}\}$ of D_α by open subsets $U_{\alpha s}$ of X , such that $U_{\alpha s} \cap U_{\alpha t} = \emptyset$ for $s \neq t$. If we choose the $U_{\alpha s}$ small enough, by the holomorphic Slice Theorem, there exists an equivariant holomorphic embedding of $\pi^{-1}(U_{\alpha s})$ into a saturated open subset of the G -module V_α . By Proposition 8.3, we get an equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi_\alpha : X \rightarrow V_\alpha$ that is an immersion at every point in $\pi^{-1}(D_\alpha)$. The set $R_\alpha := \{x \in X \mid \Phi_\alpha \text{ is not an immersion in } x\}$ is a G -invariant analytic subset of X . Furthermore, we consider the analytic subset $\tilde{A} := \bigcup_\alpha (\pi(R_\alpha) \cap A(\alpha))$ of A . Since the map Φ_α is an immersion at every point in $\pi^{-1}(D_\alpha)$, we conclude that $\dim \tilde{A} < d = \dim A$. The product map $\Phi := \bigoplus_\alpha \Phi_\alpha : X \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha V_\alpha$ into the G -product $\bigoplus_\alpha V_\alpha =: \mathcal{V}$ of the V_α is an immersion at every point in $\pi^{-1}(A \setminus \tilde{A})$.

(2) For $p \in X//G$, let $\text{Type}(p)$ be the orbit type of the uniquely determined closed orbit in $\pi^{-1}(p)$ and for any subset $Y \subset X//G$, let $\text{Type } Y := \{\text{Type}(p) \mid p \in Y\}$. Note that $\text{Type}(X//G)$ is finite, since $X//G$ is compact. For any $Y \subset X//G$, we have $\text{Type } Y \subset \text{Type}(X//G)$, which implies that $\text{Type } Y$ is finite.

Inductively, we define the following finite collection of analytic subsets $E_\alpha \subset A$ with $\bigcup_\alpha E_\alpha = A$: set $I_0 := I$ and for $I_\alpha \subset I$, set $A(\alpha) := \bigcup_{i \in I_\alpha} A_i$. Furthermore, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\alpha &:= \{\text{Type}(p) \mid \text{Type}(p) \text{ is maximal in } \text{Type}(A(\alpha)) \text{ (w.r.t. } \leq)\} \\ J_\alpha &:= \{j \in I_\alpha \mid \text{Type } A_j \cap \mathcal{H}_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} \\ E_\alpha &:= \bigcup_{j \in J_\alpha} A_j \end{aligned}$$

and finally, we set $I_{\alpha+1} := I_\alpha \setminus J_\alpha$.

Since $\text{Type } A$ is finite, this procedure stops after finitely many steps. In E_α we choose a finite set D_α with the following two properties:

$$i) \text{ Type}(p) \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha \text{ for all } p \in D_\alpha \quad ii) D_\alpha \cap A_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } j \in J_\alpha.$$

We claim that there exists a G -module W_α such that every orbit type $\text{Type}(p) \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is represented by a closed orbit $G \cdot w_p$ in W_α . Indeed, any closed G -orbit $G \cdot x$ in X naturally carries the structure of an affine algebraic G -variety, since G_x is reductive. Hence, it can be G -equivariantly embedded as a closed orbit in a G -module V . Since $\mathcal{H}_\alpha \subset \text{Type } A$ is finite, we can find a G -module W_α with the desired properties.

For every $p \in D_\alpha$, the closed orbit $T(p)$ in $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is equivariantly biholomorphic to $G \cdot w_p \subset W_\alpha$. Hence, we have a G -equivariant holomorphic map from the disjoint union of the $T(p)$, $p \in D_\alpha$ into

W_α . This map extends to a G -equivariant holomorphic map from a saturated Stein neighbourhood of $\pi^{-1}(D_\alpha)$ into W_α (see [Hei88], 1. Prop. 1). Hence, Proposition 8.3 yields a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\Psi_\alpha : X \rightarrow W_\alpha$ into an algebraic G -vector bundle W_α over $X//G$ with the property that $\Psi_\alpha(T(p)) = G \cdot w_p \subset (W_\alpha)_p \cong W_\alpha$ for all $p \in D_\alpha$.

Note that if there exists a G -equivariant map $\varphi : G \cdot x \rightarrow G \cdot y$ between two G -orbits in G -spaces, then $\text{Type}(G \cdot y) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot x)$. Therefore, for every closed orbit $G \cdot y \subset X$ with $q := \pi(y) \in E_\alpha$, we have

$$(*) \quad \text{Type}(G \cdot \Psi_\alpha(y)) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot y) = \text{Type}(q) \leq \text{Type}(p) = \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$$

for some $p \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

By Corollary 5 of [BBS97], the algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_\alpha : W_\alpha \rightarrow W_\alpha//G$ exists.

Claim: In W_α there exists a π_{W_α} -saturated analytic subset W'_α with the following two properties:

- (a) $G \cdot \Psi_\alpha(p) \subset W_\alpha \setminus W'_\alpha$ for all $p \in X//G$ with $\text{Type}(p) \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$
- (b) If $w \in W_\alpha \setminus W'_\alpha$ and $\text{Type}(G \cdot w) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$ for some $p \in D_\alpha$, then $\text{Type}(G \cdot w) = \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$ and $G \cdot w$ is closed in W_α .

Assuming the claim, we finish the proof of the lemma as follows: set $\check{A}^\alpha := \pi(\Psi_\alpha^{-1}(W'_\alpha))$ and, in the setup of (*), let $G \cdot y$ be a closed orbit such that in addition $\pi(y) \in E_\alpha \setminus \check{A}^\alpha$ holds. Then, (*) shows that $\text{Type}(G \cdot \Psi_\alpha(y)) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$ for some $p \in D_\alpha$ and $\Psi_\alpha(y) \in W_\alpha \setminus W'_\alpha$. Hence, by part (b) of the claim, it follows that $\text{Type}(G \cdot \Psi_\alpha(y)) = \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$ and $G \cdot \Psi_\alpha(y)$ is closed in W_α . This implies that $\Psi_\alpha|_{G \cdot y}$ is a proper embedding. From part (a) it follows that for every $j \in J_\alpha$, the set A_j contains a point of $E_\alpha \setminus \check{A}^\alpha$. Hence, $\dim \check{A}^\alpha < d$.

We define $\check{A} := \bigcup_\alpha \check{A}^\alpha$ and consider the map $\Psi := \bigoplus_\alpha \Psi_\alpha : X \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha W_\alpha := \mathcal{W}$. We claim that Ψ has the desired properties. Indeed, let $G \cdot x$ be a closed orbit in the set $\pi^{-1}(A \setminus \check{A})$. Then, $\pi(x) \in E_\alpha \setminus \check{A}^\alpha$ for some α and the restriction of $\Psi_\alpha : X \rightarrow W_\alpha$ to $G \cdot x$ is a proper embedding. We have $\Psi_\alpha(G \cdot x) \subset (W_\alpha)_{\pi(x)} \cong W_\alpha$. It follows from [Hei91], Lemma 6.2, that the restriction of Ψ to $G \cdot x$ is a proper embedding.

It remains to prove the claim. We omit the index α . Since the quotient $\pi_W : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}/G$ is locally the quotient of an affine G -variety, it follows from Luna's Slice Theorem [Lun73] that the set

$$(\mathcal{W}/G)' := \{q \in \mathcal{W}/G \mid \text{Type}(q) < \text{Type}(z) \text{ for some } z \in D\}$$

is an algebraic subset of $\mathcal{W}/G$. Let $\mathcal{W}' := \pi^{-1}((\mathcal{W}/G)')$. Since $\text{Type}(G \cdot w_p) = \text{Type}(p)$ for all p with $\text{Type}(p) \in \mathcal{H}$, the set $\mathcal{W}'$ has property (a). It remains to show property (b): let $w \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}'$ such that $\text{Type}(G \cdot w) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$ for some p with $\text{Type}(p) \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $G \cdot w$ is not closed. Then there exists a unique closed G -orbit $G \cdot \tilde{w} \subset \overline{G \cdot w}$. Since the G -action on $\mathcal{W}$ is algebraic, we have $\text{Type}(G \cdot \tilde{w}) < \text{Type}(G \cdot w) \leq \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$. This implies $G \cdot \tilde{w} \subset \mathcal{W}'$. However, this is a contradiction to the fact that $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}'$ is π_W -saturated. Hence, $G \cdot w$ is closed. The definition of $(\mathcal{W}/G)'$ now implies that $\text{Type}(G \cdot w) = \text{Type}(G \cdot w_p)$. This shows the claim and completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

In addition to Lemma 8.4, the holomorphic version of Luna's fundamental lemma (see [Hei88], 2. Prop. 2, and [Sno82], Prop. 5.1) is an essential ingredient of the proof of the Embedding Theorem. For convenience of the reader we recall the statement.

Lemma 8.5 ([Hei88]). *Let X, Y be holomorphic G -spaces such that the analytic Hilbert quotients $\pi_X : X \rightarrow X//G$ and $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y//G$ exist. Let $x \in X$ be a point such that the G -orbit $G \cdot x$ through x is closed. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant holomorphic map with the following properties:*

- (1) ϕ is an immersion at x , and

(2) $\phi|_{G \cdot x} : G \cdot x \rightarrow Y$ is a proper embedding.

Then, given an open neighbourhood U of x , there exists an open π_X -saturated neighbourhood T of x in X and a π_Y -saturated open subset T' of Y such that $\pi_X(T) \subset \pi_X(U)$, $\phi(T) \subset T'$ and such that $\phi|_T : T \rightarrow T'$ is a proper holomorphic embedding.

Theorem 8.6 (Embedding Theorem). *Let X be a holomorphic G -space with analytic Hilbert quotient $X//G$. Assume that $X//G$ is projective algebraic. Then, there exists an equivariant proper holomorphic embedding $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of X into an algebraic G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $X//G$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 8.4 to $A = X$ to produce an equivariant holomorphic map $\Psi_1 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1$ that is an immersion outside of an analytic set $\tilde{A}$ of dimension less than $\dim X$. Applying the lemma again to $A = \tilde{A}$, we get a second map $\Psi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_2$. The product map $\Psi_1 \oplus \Psi_2 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \mathcal{W}_2$ is an immersion outside an analytic set of dimension less than $\dim \tilde{A}$. Hence, after finitely many steps we obtain an equivariant holomorphic immersion $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ into an algebraic G -vector bundle over $X//G$. Furthermore, by a repeated application of the second part of Lemma 8.4 we get an equivariant holomorphic map $\tilde{\Psi} : X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ into an algebraic G -vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ over $X//G$, whose restriction to every closed orbit in X is a proper embedding.

Consider $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{W} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and let $\Phi := \Psi \oplus \tilde{\Psi} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the product map. Then, Φ is an immersion and its restriction to every closed orbit in X is a proper embedding. From Lemma 8.5 it follows that the restriction of Φ to every fibre of $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ is a proper embedding. Furthermore, by construction, Φ separates the fibres of π . Hence, Φ is an injective immersion; it remains to show that it is proper.

Let $\bar{\Phi} : X//G \rightarrow \mathcal{V}//G$ be the holomorphic map induced by the G -invariant holomorphic map $\pi_Y \circ \Phi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}//G$, where $\pi_Y : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}//G$ is the algebraic Hilbert quotient. Since $X//G$ is compact, $\bar{\Phi}$ is a proper embedding. Let (x_n) be a sequence in X such that $\Phi(x_n) \rightarrow v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, $\pi_Y(\Phi(x_n)) \rightarrow \pi_Y(v_0)$ in $\mathcal{V}//G$. Since $\bar{\Phi}$ is proper, without loss of generality, $\pi(x_n)$ converges to a point q_0 in $X//G$ and $\bar{\Phi}(q_0) = \pi_Y(v_0)$. Hence, given an arbitrary open neighbourhood Q of q_0 in $X//G$, without loss of generality we can assume that $x_n \in \pi^{-1}(Q)$ for all n . Choose Q to be a neighbourhood of the form $\pi(T)$ as given by Lemma 8.5, and let T' be the corresponding π_Y -saturated neighbourhood of v_0 in $\mathcal{V}$. It follows that $\Phi(x_n) \in T'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\phi|_T : T \rightarrow T'$ is a proper embedding, the convergence of $\Phi(x_n)$ to v_0 in T' implies that a subsequence of (x_n) converges to some x_0 in $T \subset X$. $\square$

9. ALGEBRAICITY OF SPACES WITH PROJECTIVE ALGEBRAIC QUOTIENT

9.1. The Algebraicity Theorem for invariant analytic subsets. Let Y be an algebraic G -variety with algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y//G$. Assume that $Y//G$ is a projective algebraic variety. Let X be a G -invariant analytic subset of Y such that $\pi(X) = Y//G$. Fix an ample line bundle L on $Y//G$. Given a vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on a complex space Z let $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ denote the zero section.

Lemma 9.1. *For every $y \in Y \setminus X$, there exist a G -module V , $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ into the G -vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ such that $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\Phi(y) \notin \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$.*

Proof. Let $y \in Y \setminus X$. Since $\pi(X) = Y//G$, the fibre of π over $q := \pi(y)$ intersects X non-trivially. Set $A := X \cap \pi^{-1}(q)$. Since $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is Stein, it follows from [Hei88], 1. Bem. 2, that there exists a G -module V and a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\varphi : \pi^{-1}(q) \rightarrow V$ such that $\varphi|_A \equiv 0$ and $\varphi(y) \neq 0$. Consider the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of germs of holomorphic maps from Y to V and let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be the subsheaf of those germs that vanish along $X \subset Y$, i.e., $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I}_X \cdot \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{I}_X$ denotes the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathcal{H}_Y$. Since X is G -invariant, $\mathcal{G}$ is a coherent analytic G -sheaf on Y . The G -invariant push-forward $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} := (\pi_*^h \mathcal{G})^G$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on $X//G = Y//G$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_q$ be the ideal sheaf in $\mathcal{H}_{Y//G}$ of the point $q \in Y//G$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be chosen such that $H^1(Y//G, \mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) = 0$ (Serre vanishing).

Then, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence on the level of sections

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(Y//G, \mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(Y//G, \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}) \rightarrow H^0(Y//G, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The map φ defines an element in $H^0(Y//G, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\mathfrak{m}_q \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ and hence, there exists a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ that coincides with φ at q . Lemma 8.1 now yields a G -equivariant holomorphic map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow V \otimes L^{\otimes m}$ with $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_V$ and $\Phi(y) \notin \mathcal{Z}_V$. $\square$

In the setup of the previous lemma, if $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_V$, we say that Φ *vanishes on X* . Analogously, if $\Phi(y) \in \mathcal{Z}_V$, we write $\Phi(y) = 0$.

Next, we need to compare coherent algebraic and coherent analytic sheaves on algebraic varieties. For this, we introduce some notation. If $\mathcal{F}$ is any sheaf on an algebraic variety Y we define a new sheaf $\mathcal{F}'$ on Y^h : for an open subset $U \subset Y$ we define $\mathcal{F}'(U) := \varinjlim \{ \mathcal{F}(W) \mid W \text{ Zariski-open in } Y \text{ containing } U \}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is any algebraic sheaf on the algebraic variety Y , then we define a corresponding analytic sheaf $\mathcal{F}^h$ on Y^h by $\mathcal{F}^h := \mathcal{H}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{F}'$.

Lemma 9.2. *The holomorphic map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow V$ constructed in the previous lemma is algebraic.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I}_X \cdot \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the coherent analytic G -sheaf of germs of holomorphic maps from Y^h to V and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subset (\pi_*^h \mathcal{F})^G$ due to the exactness of the $\pi_*^h(\cdot)^G$ -functor, cf. [HH99]. Let L be the ample line bundle introduced above, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be the locally free sheaf associated to L . The map Φ is induced by an element $\phi \in H^0(Y//G, (\pi_* \mathcal{F})^G \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the coherent algebraic G -sheaf of germs of algebraic maps from Y to the G -module V . Then, $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^h$ as a coherent analytic G -sheaf over Y^h . We have

$$((\pi_* \mathcal{A})^G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y//G}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})^h = ((\pi_* \mathcal{A})^G)^h \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{Y//G}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} = (\pi_*^h \mathcal{F})^G \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{Y//G}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m},$$

where for the second equality we used the GAGA-principle for quotient morphisms, see [Nee89]. Since $Y//G$ is projective algebraic, the section $\phi \in H^0((Y//G)^h, (\pi_*^h \mathcal{F})^G \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{Y//G}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ is an element of $H^0(Y//G, (\pi_* \mathcal{A})^G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y//G}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m})$ and hence algebraic. $\square$

We are now in the position to prove the main result of this section. It is the analogue of Chow's Theorem in our context.

Theorem 9.3 (Algebraicity Theorem for invariant analytic subsets). *Let Y be an algebraic G -variety with algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y//G$. Assume that $Y//G$ is projective algebraic. Let $X \subset Y$ be a G -invariant analytic subset of Y such that $\pi(X) = Y//G$. Then, X is an algebraic subvariety of Y .*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{A} := \{ \Phi : Y \rightarrow V \otimes L^{\otimes m} \mid \Phi \text{ a } G\text{-equivariant algebraic map, } V \text{ a } G\text{-module, } m \in \mathbb{N} \}$. It suffices to show that $X = \{ y \in Y \mid \Phi(y) = 0 \text{ for every } \Phi \in \mathcal{A} \text{ vanishing on } X \} =: B$, since then X is the intersection of a family of algebraic subvarieties of Y , hence algebraic. Clearly, we have $X \subset B$. So, let $y \in Y \setminus X$. Then, using Lemmata 9.1 and 9.2, we see that there exists a map $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ such that Φ vanishes on X and $\Phi(y) \neq 0$. Hence, $y \notin B$ and the claim is shown. $\square$

Lemma 9.1 and Theorem 9.3 are no longer true if the quotient is not assumed to be projective:

Example 9.4. Consider the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by multiplication in the second factor. The analytic Hilbert quotient is given by $\pi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(z, w) \mapsto z$. The holomorphic function $F : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \mapsto u_3 - u_2 \cdot e^{u_1}$ is equivariant with respect to the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^3$ and the standard action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}$ by multiplication. Its zero set $X = \{ F = 0 \}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant, non-algebraic, analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^3$ with $\pi(X) = \mathbb{C}^3 // \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$.

9.2. The Algebraicity Theorem. In this section we prove Theorem 3. We have separated the statements into two propositions.

Proposition 9.5. *Let X be a holomorphic G -space such that the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists and such that $X//G$ is projective algebraic. Then, there exists a quasi-projective algebraic G -variety X_0 with algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_0 : X_0 \rightarrow X_0//G \cong X//G$ such that X is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to X_0^h .*

Proof. By the Embedding Theorem, Theorem 8.6, there exists a proper holomorphic embedding $\Phi : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ into an algebraic G -vector bundle over $X//G$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{V}$ admits an algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}//G$. Since $X//G$ is projective, the induced map $\overline{\Phi} : X//G \rightarrow \mathcal{V}//G$ is algebraic and its image is a compact algebraic subvariety of $\mathcal{V}//G$. Set $Y := \pi_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}(\overline{\Phi}(X//G)) \subset \mathcal{V}$. The restriction $\pi_Y := \pi_{\mathcal{V}}|_Y : Y \rightarrow \pi_Y(Y) \cong X//G$ is an algebraic Hilbert quotient, and $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper holomorphic embedding.

Therefore, we can assume that X is a G -invariant analytic subset of a quasi-projective G -variety Y that admits an algebraic Hilbert quotient $\pi_Y : Y \rightarrow Y//G$ such that $\pi(X) = Y//G$ is projective algebraic. We conclude that $\pi = \pi_Y^h|_X$. The Algebraicity Theorem for invariant analytic sets, Theorem 9.3, implies that X is an algebraic subset of Y , hence a quasi-projective algebraic variety. $\square$

By Proposition 9.5 we can endow X with the structure of an algebraic G -variety with algebraic Hilbert quotient $X//G$. Next, we investigate uniqueness properties of this algebraic structure.

Proposition 9.6. *Let X be a holomorphic G -space such that the analytic Hilbert quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ exists and such that $X//G$ is a projective algebraic variety. Assume that there are two algebraic G -varieties X_1 and X_2 with algebraic Hilbert quotients $\pi_j : X_j \rightarrow X_j//G$, $j = 1, 2$ such that X is G -equivariantly biholomorphic to both X_1^h and X_2^h . Then, X_1 and X_2 are isomorphic as algebraic G -varieties.*

Proof. Let $\phi : (X_1)^h \rightarrow (X_2)^h$ be the holomorphic map given by the two isomorphisms $\phi_j : X \rightarrow X_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ and let $\Gamma \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be its graph. The set Γ is G -invariant, analytic, and G -equivariantly biholomorphic to X via $\Phi : X \rightarrow \Gamma$, $\Phi = \phi_1 \times \phi_2$. We will show that Γ is an algebraic subvariety of $X_1 \times X_2$.

The G -invariant algebraic map $\pi_1 \times \pi_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1//G \times X_2//G$ is affine. Applying [BBŚ97], Corollary 5, it follows that there exists an algebraic Hilbert quotient $\Pi : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow (X_1 \times X_2)//G =: Q$ for the G -action on $X_1 \times X_2$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & X_1 \times X_2 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \\ X//G & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Phi}} & Q. \end{array}$$

Since $X//G$ is projective, it follows that $\Pi(\Gamma) = \overline{\Phi}(X//G)$ is a compact algebraic subvariety of Q isomorphic to $X//G$. Its preimage $Y := \Pi^{-1}(\Pi(\Gamma))$ is an algebraic G -subvariety of $X_1 \times X_2$. The map $\pi_Y := \Pi|_Y : Y \rightarrow \Pi(Y) = Y//G$ is an algebraic Hilbert quotient, $Y//G \cong X//G$ is projective, and $\Gamma \subset Y$ is a G -invariant analytic subset such that $\pi_Y(\Gamma) = Y//G$. The Algebraicity Theorem for invariant analytic sets, Theorem 9.3, implies that $\Gamma \subset Y \subset X_1 \times X_2$ is algebraic. We conclude that ϕ is a regular map. $\square$

The proof of the Algebraicity Theorem, Theorem 3, now follows by applying Propositions 9.5 and 9.6.

Remark 9.7. The claim of Theorem 3 is no longer true, if the quotient is not assumed to be projective, as illustrated by the following example.

Example 9.8. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be any non-algebraic, analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$. If $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is the inclusion of A into $\mathbb{C}^2$, we consider the space $X := \mathbb{C}^2 \cup_{\varphi} \mathbb{C}^2 = (\mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2) / \sim$, where $\sqcup$ denotes disjoint union, and where $x \sim y$, if and only if $y = \varphi(x)$. Let $Y := \mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be the quotient map. For an open subset U in X we define

$$\mathcal{H}_X(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{H}_Y(p^{-1}(U)) \mid f \text{ constant on } \sim\text{-equivalence classes}\}.$$

By a Theorem of H. Cartan [Car60] the ringed space $(X, \mathcal{H}_X)$ is a complex space, and the projection map $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is holomorphic. Consider the holomorphic map $\sigma : Y \rightarrow Y$ which sends a point x in one of the connected components $\mathbb{C}^2$ of Y to the corresponding point in the other connected component of $Y = \mathbb{C}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{C}^2$. The automorphism σ generates a holomorphic action of $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on Y . This action descends to a holomorphic $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on X making $p : Y \rightarrow X$ equivariant. Consider the holomorphic map $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ which maps a point $x \in Y$ to the corresponding point in $\mathbb{C}^2$. The map ψ is constant on equivalence classes and hence descends to a holomorphic map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. This is an analytic Hilbert quotient for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action on X .

We claim that there exists no algebraic structure on X making X into an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -variety in such a way that the map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ becomes the algebraic Hilbert quotient for the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on X . Indeed, suppose that this was possible. Then, X would be an affine variety and the map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ would be finite. Therefore, the branch locus $R_{\pi} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ of π would be an algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^2$. However, set-theoretically, we have $R_{\pi} = A$, a contradiction.

10. ANALYTIC HILBERT QUOTIENTS AS ALGEBRAIC HILBERT QUOTIENTS

We conclude our study by discussing connections between the results on momentum map quotients obtained in the first part of this note (Theorems 1 and 2) and the Algebraicity Theorem, Theorem 3.

We recall the setup of Theorems 1 and 2. Let G be the complexification of the compact Lie group K . Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that X has only 1-rational singularities and that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact. Then, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 imply that $X(\mu) // G$ is projective algebraic and that $X(\mu)$ is an algebraically Zariski-open subset in X , hence itself an algebraic G -variety. It now follows from Theorem 3 that there exists a quasi-projective algebraic G -variety Z and a G -equivariant biholomorphic map $\psi : X(\mu)^h \rightarrow Z^h$. It is a natural question whether ψ preserves the algebraic structure on $X(\mu)^h$.

The map ψ is induced by an isomorphism of algebraic varieties if and only if $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ is an algebraic Hilbert quotient. The following result states that this is true under an additional regularity assumption.

Proposition 10.1. *Let K be a compact Lie group and let $G = K^{\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Let X be a G -irreducible algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety with momentum map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}^*$. Assume that X has only 1-rational singularities and that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is compact. Assume in addition that all stabiliser groups of points in $X(\mu)$ are finite. Then, $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ is an algebraic Hilbert quotient. In particular, the map ψ is biregular.*

Proof. Since the stabiliser groups of elements in $X(\mu)$ are finite, all G -orbits in $X(\mu)$ are closed in $X(\mu)$, and the quotient $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ is geometric. It follows (cf. the proof of Proposition 6.4) that the quotient map $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu) // G$ is rational. Since π is a priori holomorphic, we conclude that it is regular. The existence of local slices for the action of G on $X(\mu)$ together with the existence of a geometric quotient for the G -action on $X(\mu)$ implies that G acts properly on $X(\mu)$. It then follows from [Kol97] that π is an algebraic Hilbert quotient. $\square$

Remarks 10.2. a) If X is smooth, the finiteness of the isotropy groups required in Proposition 10.1 is equivalent to 0 being a regular value of the momentum map μ .

b) If, in addition to the assumptions made in Proposition 10.1, X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial, there exists a G -linearised line bundle L on X such that $X(\mu)$ coincides with the set $X(L)$ of semistable points with respect to L , cf. [MFK94], Chap. 1, §4, Conv 1.13. Hence, in this setup the analytic theory of momentum map quotients coincides with Mumford's algebraic Geometric Invariant Theory.

c) If X is a smooth projective algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety, the assertion of Proposition 10.1 is true without the assumption on stabiliser groups, see [HM01]. It is however an open question if the quotient map $\pi : X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu)//G$ of a general algebraic Hamiltonian G -variety is an algebraic Hilbert quotient.

REFERENCES

- [Bar75] Daniel Barlet, *Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes compacts d'un espace analytique complexe de dimension finie*, Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, II (Séminaire François Norguet, 1974–1975), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 482, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, pp. 1–158.
- [BBŚ97] Andrzej Białynicki-Birula and Joanna Świąćicka, *Three theorems on existence of good quotients*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), no. 1, 143–149.
- [Bou87] Jean-François Boutot, *Singularités rationnelles et quotients par les groupes réductifs*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 1, 65–68.
- [Car60] Henri Cartan, *Quotients of complex analytic spaces*, Contributions to function theory (Internat. Colloq. Function Theory, Bombay, 1960), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960, pp. 1–15.
- [Fis75] Gerd Fischer, *Ein relativer Satz von Chow und die Elimination der Unbestimmtheitsstellen meromorpher Funktionen*, Math. Ann. **217** (1975), no. 2, 145–152.
- [GR84] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert, *Coherent analytic sheaves*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [Gre08] Daniel Greb, *Projectivity of analytic Hilbert quotients*, Dissertation, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 2008, electronic version available at: <http://www-brs.ub.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/netahtml/HSS/Diss/GrebDaniel/diss.pdf>.
- [Gre09] ———, *1-rational singularities and quotients by reductive groups*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3539>, 2009.
- [GS82] Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 3, 515–538.
- [Har70] Robin Hartshorne, *Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [Hei88] Peter Heinzner, *Linear äquivariante Einbettungen Steinscher Räume*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), no. 1, 147–160.
- [Hei91] ———, *Geometric invariant theory on Stein spaces*, Math. Ann. **289** (1991), no. 4, 631–662.
- [HH96] Peter Heinzner and Alan Huckleberry, *Kählerian potentials and convexity properties of the moment map*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 1, 65–84.
- [HH99] Jürgen Hausen and Peter Heinzner, *Actions of compact groups on coherent sheaves*, Transform. Groups **4** (1999), no. 1, 25–34.
- [HHL94] Peter Heinzner, Alan Huckleberry, and Frank Loose, *Kählerian extensions of the symplectic reduction*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **455** (1994), 123–140.
- [Hir64] Heisuke Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109–203; *ibid.* (2) **79** (1964), 205–326.
- [HL94] Peter Heinzner and Frank Loose, *Reduction of complex Hamiltonian G -spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **4** (1994), no. 3, 288–297.
- [HM01] Peter Heinzner and Luca Migliorini, *Projectivity of moment map quotients*, Osaka J. Math. **38** (2001), no. 1, 167–184.
- [HMP98] Peter Heinzner, Luca Migliorini, and Marzia Polito, *Semistable quotients*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **26** (1998), no. 2, 233–248.
- [Hoc65] Gerhard P. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1965.
- [HS07] Peter Heinzner and Gerald W. Schwarz, *Cartan decomposition of the moment map*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), no. 1, 197–232.
- [Kap93] Mikhail Kapranov, *Chow quotients of Grassmannians I*, I. M. Gel'fand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 29–110.
- [Kir84] Frances Clare Kirwan, *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 31, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Kol97] János Kollár, *Quotient spaces modulo algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 1, 33–79.

- [Lun73] Domingo Luna, *Slices étales*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973, pp. 81–105.
- [Lun76] ———, *Fonctions différentiables invariantes sous l'opération d'un groupe réductif*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **26** (1976), no. 1, ix, 33–49.
- [MFK94] David Mumford, John Fogarty, and Frances Clare Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, third ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 2. Folge, vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Nam02] Yoshinori Namikawa, *Projectivity criterion of Moishezon spaces and density of projective symplectic varieties*, Internat. J. Math. **13** (2002), no. 2, 125–135.
- [Nee89] Amnon Neeman, *Analytic questions in geometric invariant theory*, Invariant theory (Denton, TX, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 88, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 11–23.
- [Rem57] Reinhold Remmert, *Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume*, Math. Ann. **133** (1957), 328–370.
- [Rob86] Mark Roberts, *A note on coherent G -sheaves*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 4, 573–582.
- [Ros56] Maxwell Rosenlicht, *Some basic theorems on algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 401–443.
- [Ser56] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **6** (1955–1956), 1–42.
- [Sja95] Reyer Sjamaar, *Holomorphic slices, symplectic reduction and multiplicities of representations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **141** (1995), no. 1, 87–129.
- [Sno82] Dennis M. Snow, *Reductive group actions on Stein spaces*, Math. Ann. **259** (1982), no. 1, 79–97.
- [Sum74] Hideyasu Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28.
- [SW59] Joseph H. Sampson and Gerard Washnitzer, *A Künneth formula for coherent algebraic sheaves*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 389–402.
- [Var89] Jean Varouchas, *Kähler spaces and proper open morphisms*, Math. Ann. **283** (1989), no. 1, 13–52.

Daniel Greb
 Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
 Mathematisches Institut
 Abteilung für Reine Mathematik
 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau
 Germany
 daniel.greb@math.uni-freiburg.de